

Topology and geometry of 6-dimensional (1,0) supergravity black hole horizons

M. Akyol and G. Papadopoulos

Department of Mathematics
King's College London
Strand
London WC2R 2LS, UK

Abstract

We show that the supersymmetric near horizon black hole geometries of 6-dimensional supergravity coupled to any number of scalar and tensor multiplets are either locally $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$, where Σ^3 is a homology 3-sphere, or $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}^4$, where $\mathcal{S}^4$ is a 4-manifold whose geometry depends on the hypermultiplet scalars. In both cases, we find that the tensorini multiplet scalars are constant and the associated 3-form field strengths vanish. We also demonstrate that the $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$ horizons preserve 2, 4 and 8 supersymmetries. For horizons with 4 supersymmetries, Σ^3 is in addition a non-trivial circle fibration over a topological 2-sphere. The near horizon geometries preserving 8 supersymmetries are locally isometric to either $AdS_3 \times S^3$ or $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^4$. Moreover, we show that the $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$ horizons preserve 1, 2 and 4 supersymmetries and the geometry of $\mathcal{S}$ is Riemann, Kähler and hyper-Kähler, respectively.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the black hole uniqueness theorems in 4-dimensions [1]-[7] do not extend to 5 and higher dimensions. Specifically in 5 dimensions, apart from spherical black holes [8], there also exist black holes with near horizon topology $S^1 \times S^2$, the black rings [9, 10]. In more than 5 dimensions, it is expected that there are black holes with exotic horizon topologies [11]-[14].

In the context of supergravity, the recent progress made towards understanding of the geometry for all solutions to the Killing spinor equations (KSEs) raises the hope that all supersymmetric black hole solutions can be classified. So far this goal has not been attained but some significant progress has been made towards classifying all near horizon black hole geometries. Results in this direction include the identification of all near horizon geometries of simple 5- and 6-dimensional supergravities [9, 15]. In addition, all near horizon geometries of 4-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity coupled to any number of vector and scalar multiplets have been classified [16]. Furthermore, the near horizon geometries of heterotic [17] and IIB with 5-form flux [18] supergravities have been identified and many examples have been constructed. Progress has also been made in 11 dimensions where all static near horizon geometries have been found [19].

In this paper, we shall investigate the topology and geometry of supersymmetric black hole horizons in 6-dimensional (1,0) supergravity [20, 21, 22] coupled to any number of tensor, vector and scalar¹ multiplets. For this, we adapt null gaussian geodesic coordinates² near the horizon [23] and then solve both the field and KSEs of the theory. The solution of the latter is facilitated by the identification of the geometry of all supersymmetric backgrounds of 6-dimensional (1,0) supergravity in [24]. There is not a general method to solve the field equations. However in many cases of interest, the use of the results from the KSEs together with the maximal principle and the compactness of the horizon sections allow for the general solution to the field equations without imposing an ansatz on the form of near horizon geometries. To apply this technique in 6 dimensions, we shall restrict our attention to those horizons for which the vector multiplets can be consistently set to zero. This is because in the presence of active vectors the field equations of the theory cannot be put in a form that allows the application of the maximal principle³.

In particular, we find that there are two classes of near horizon geometries. The near horizon geometries of the first class are locally $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$ and so the horizon section is $\mathcal{S} = S^1 \times \Sigma^3$. The proof of this product structure for $\mathcal{S}$ is key and utilizes the field and KSEs as well as the compactness of $\mathcal{S}$. Moreover, it turns out that Σ^3 is a 3-dimensional manifold with strictly positive Ricci tensor and a theorem of Gallot and Meyer, see eg [25], together with the Poincaré conjecture [26] imply that the universal cover of Σ^3 is diffeomorphic to S^3 . The scalars of the tensor multiplets are constant and the associated 3-form field strengths vanish. The scalars of the hypermultiplets depend only on the

¹The scalar multiplets are also referred to as hypermultiplets.

²The nature of the horizons captured by these coordinates is described in [23]. A discussion of the application of these coordinates to supergravity horizons with active form field strengths and the relation of the resulting solutions to asymptotic supersymmetry algebras can be found in [17, 16].

³This is similar to what happens in the heterotic case and a more detailed explanation is given in [17].

coordinates of Σ^3 and satisfy a natural first order non-linear differential equation which we describe.

We also demonstrate that the $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$ horizons preserve 2, 4 or 8 supersymmetries depending on the geometry of Σ^3 . To prove this, we show that the horizons admit an isometry which commutes with all the KSEs. For a generic choice of Σ^3 , the horizons preserve 2 supersymmetries. For horizons preserving 4 supersymmetries, the metric on Σ^3 is compatible with a non-trivial circle fibration over a topological 2-sphere Σ^2 . Moreover the scalars of the hypermultiplet depend only on the coordinates of Σ^2 and are pseudo-holomorphic type of maps into the hypermultiplets Quaternionic Kähler manifold $\mathcal{Q}$. The $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$ horizons which preserve 8 supersymmetries are locally isometric⁴ to $AdS_3 \times S^3$.

The geometry of the horizon sections $\mathcal{S}$ in the $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$ class of horizons depends only on the hypermultiplet scalars. In particular as in the previous case, the tensor multiplet scalars are constant and all 3-form field strengths, including that of the gravity multiplet, vanish. The $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$ horizons preserve 1, 2 and 4 supersymmetries, and the geometry of $\mathcal{S}$ is Riemann, Kähler and hyper-Kähler, respectively. The first two cases require the existence of non-trivial hypermultiplet scalars which satisfy certain first order non-linear differential equations which we describe. In the last case, the hypermultiplet scalars are constant and $\mathcal{S}$ is locally isometric to either K_3 or T^4 . The $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^4$ horizons admit a supersymmetry enhancement to $N = 8$. The above results extend those of [15] on the near horizon geometries of simple 6-dimensional supergravity.

This paper has been organized as follows. In section 2, we set up our notation and solve the KSEs for $N = 1$ horizons. In section 3, we prove that all near horizon geometries with non-vanishing “rotation” are products $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$ and preserve even number of supersymmetries. Moreover, we examine in detail the topology and geometry of $N = 2$ horizons. In section 4, we investigate the geometry of $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$ horizons which preserve 4 and 8 supersymmetries. In section 5, we examine the geometry of $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$ horizons and show that they may preserve 1, 2 and 4 supersymmetries. In appendix A, we present the solution of the KSEs for near horizon backgrounds preserving one supersymmetry.

2 Supersymmetric horizons

2.1 Fields and KSEs of 6-dimensional supergravity

We consider a 6-dimensional supergravity coupled to any number of tensor and scalar multiplets. The bosonic field content of the gravitational multiplet consists of the graviton and 3-form field strength H of the gravitational multiplet. In addition, each tensor multiplet contains a 3-form field strength and a real scalar, while each scalar multiplet, or hyper-multiplet, contains 4 real scalars. The action of the theory has been constructed progressively in [20, 21, 22]. We follow closely the description of the theory in [22] but we shall use the notation developed in [24] which differs from that in [22]. Apart from differences in the normalizations of fields, the 10-dimensional description of (1,0)-supergravity spinors in [24] simplifies the solution of the KSEs.

Suppose that the (1,0)-supergravity couples to n_T tensor multiplets. In the absence of

⁴Throughout this paper, S^n denotes the n-sphere equipped with the standard “round” metric.

vector multiplets, the $n_T + 1$ 3-form field strengths of the supergravity theory are given in terms of 2-form potentials as

$$G_{\mu\nu\rho}^r = 3\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\rho]}^r, \quad r = 0, \dots, n_T. \quad (2.1)$$

The gravitini, tensorini and hyperini KSEs of the theory are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\mu \epsilon &\equiv \left(\nabla_\mu - \frac{1}{8} H_{\mu\nu\rho} \Gamma^{\nu\rho} + \mathcal{C}_\mu^{r'} \rho_{r'} \right) \epsilon = 0, \\ \left(\frac{i}{2} T_\mu^{\underline{M}} \Gamma^\mu - \frac{i}{24} H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\underline{M}} \Gamma^{\mu\nu\rho} \right) \epsilon &= 0, \\ i\Gamma^\mu \epsilon_{\underline{A}} V_\mu^{\underline{aA}} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

respectively, where the various fields and components of the KSEs are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mu\nu\rho} &= v_{\underline{r}} G_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\underline{r}}, \quad H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\underline{M}} = x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} G_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\underline{r}}, \quad \mathcal{C}_\mu^{\underline{A}}{}_{\underline{B}} = \partial_\mu \phi^{\underline{I}} \mathcal{A}_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{A}}{}_{\underline{B}}, \\ T_\mu^{\underline{M}} &= x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} \partial_\mu v^{\underline{r}}, \quad V_\mu^{\underline{aA}} = E_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{aA}} \partial_\mu \phi^{\underline{I}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

and where

$$\eta_{\underline{rs}} v^{\underline{r}} v^{\underline{s}} = 1, \quad v_{\underline{r}} v_{\underline{s}} - \sum_{\underline{M}} x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} x_{\underline{s}}^{\underline{M}} = \eta_{\underline{rs}}, \quad v^{\underline{r}} x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Both $v_{\underline{r}}$ and $x_{\underline{s}}^{\underline{M}}$ depend on the tensor multiplet scalars φ . For more notation details as well as the description of spinors, see [24]. Clearly $H_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\underline{M}}$ are the n_T 3-form field strengths of the tensor multiplets, and the tensor multiplet scalars φ parameterize the hyperbolic space $SO(n_T, 1)/SO(n_T)$. $\phi^{\underline{I}}$ are the scalars of the hyper-multiplets which take values on a Quaternionic Kähler manifold, $\mathcal{Q}$, $E_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{aA}}$ is a frame of $\mathcal{Q}$, and $\mathcal{A}_{\underline{I}}^{\underline{A}}{}_{\underline{B}}$ is the $Sp(1)$ part of the quaternionic Kähler connection. Note also that the 3-form field strengths satisfy the duality condition

$$\zeta_{\underline{rs}} G_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}^{\underline{s}} = \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}{}^{\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} G_{\underline{r}\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}^{\underline{r}}, \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\zeta_{\underline{rs}} = v_{\underline{r}} v_{\underline{s}} + \sum_{\underline{M}} x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} x_{\underline{s}}^{\underline{M}}, \quad (2.6)$$

ie H is anti-self-dual while $H^{\underline{M}}$ is self-dual.

The KSEs of (1,0)-supergravity, including that of the vector multiplet which has not been given above, have been solved for all backgrounds preserving any number of supersymmetries in [24]. Here, we shall adapt the analysis to describe the topology and geometry of all supersymmetric horizons.

2.2 Near horizon geometry

The description of the fields of (1,0) supergravity near the horizon of an extreme black hole using null Gaussian coordinates [23] is similar to that which has been given for the fields of heterotic supergravity in [17]. Because of this, we shall not present the details here. In particular, the near horizon metric, 3-form field strengths and scalars of (1,0) supergravity can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2\mathbf{e}^+\mathbf{e}^- + \delta_{ij}\mathbf{e}^i\mathbf{e}^j, \\ G^r &= \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \wedge (dS^r - N^r - S^r h) + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge (h \wedge N^r - dN^r - S^r dh) + dW^r, \\ \phi^I &= \phi^I(y), \quad \varphi = \varphi(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}^+ = du, \quad \mathbf{e}^- = dr + rh + r^2 \Delta du, \quad \mathbf{e}^i = e^i_I dy^I. \quad (2.8)$$

The spacetime has coordinates (r, u, y^I) . The black hole horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ is the co-dimension 2 subspace $r = u = 0$ and it is assumed to be *compact, connected*, and *without boundary*. The dependence of fields on light-cone coordinates (r, u) is explicitly given. In addition, W^r are 2-forms, h, N^r are 1-forms, and S^r are scalars on the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ and depend only on the coordinates y^I . $\mathbf{e}^i$ is a frame on $\mathcal{S}$ and depends only on y as well. Both the tensor and hypermultiplet scalars depend only on the coordinates of $\mathcal{S}$.

To find the supersymmetric horizons of 6-dimensional (1,0) supergravity, one has to solve both the field and KSEs of the theory for the fields given in (2.7). We shall proceed with the solution of KSEs.

2.3 Solution of KSEs

To continue, we substitute (2.7) into the KSEs (2.2) and assume that the backgrounds preserve at least one supersymmetry. Furthermore, we identify the stationary Killing vector field ∂_u of the near horizon geometry with the Killing vector constructed as a Killing spinor bilinear. A detailed analysis of these calculations has been presented in appendix A. The end result of this computation is that the Killing spinor can be chosen as

$$\epsilon = 1 + e_{1234}, \quad (2.9)$$

and the fields can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= 2\mathbf{e}^+\mathbf{e}^- + \delta_{ij}\mathbf{e}^i\mathbf{e}^j, \\ H &= \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \wedge h + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge dh - \frac{1}{3!}h_\ell \epsilon^\ell_{ijk} \mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j \wedge \mathbf{e}^k, \\ H^{\underline{M}} &= T^{\underline{M}}_i \mathbf{e}^- \wedge \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^i - \frac{1}{3!}T^{\underline{M}}_\ell \epsilon^\ell_{ijk} \mathbf{e}^i \wedge \mathbf{e}^j \wedge \mathbf{e}^k, \\ \phi^I &= \phi^I(y), \quad \varphi = \varphi(y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

where we have used the duality relations of the 3-form field strengths. In particular $h_\ell \epsilon^\ell_{ijk} = -v_{\underline{r}} dW^r_{ijk}$ and similarly for $H^{\underline{M}}$. In addition the anti-self duality of H requires that

$$dh_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ij}{}^{kl} dh_{kl}. \quad (2.11)$$

It is clear that H is entirely determined in terms of h while $H^{\underline{M}}$ is entirely determined in terms of the scalars φ of the tensor multiplets.

Furthermore, the gravitino KSE along the horizon section directions requires that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i(1 + e_{1234}) = 0 , \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i = \hat{\nabla}_i + \mathcal{C}_i^{r'} \rho_{r'} , \quad (2.13)$$

and $\hat{\nabla}$ is the connection on $\mathcal{S}$ with skew-symmetric torsion $-\star_4 h$. One can unveil the geometric content of this equation by considering the Quaternionic-Hermitian 2-forms

$$\omega_I = -i\delta_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}e^\alpha \wedge e^{\bar{\beta}} , \quad \omega_J = -e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^{\bar{1}} \wedge e^{\bar{2}} , \quad \omega_K = i(e^1 \wedge e^2 - e^{\bar{1}} \wedge e^{\bar{2}}) . \quad (2.14)$$

on $\mathcal{S}$ which can be constructed as twisted Killing spinor bi-linears, see [24]. In particular setting $\omega^1 = \omega_I$, $\omega^2 = \omega_J$ and $\omega^3 = \omega_K$, the integrability condition of (2.12) can be expressed as

$$-\hat{R}_{mn, \quad i}^{\quad k} \omega^{r'}_{kj} + (j, i) + 2\mathcal{F}_{mn}^{s'} \epsilon^{r'}_{s't'} \omega_{ij}^{t'} = 0 , \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}_{mn}^{s'} = \partial_m \phi^{\underline{L}} \partial_n \phi^{\underline{L}} \mathcal{F}_{\underline{L}\underline{J}}^{s'} . \quad (2.16)$$

The integrability condition identifies the $Sp(1) \subset Sp(1) \cdot Sp(1)$ component of the curvature $\hat{R}$ of the 4-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{S}$ with the pull back with respect to ϕ of the $Sp(1)$ component of the curvature of $\mathcal{Q}$. The restriction imposed on the geometry of $\mathcal{S}$ by (2.15) depends on the scalars $\phi^{\underline{L}}$. In particular, if $\phi^{\underline{L}}$ are constant, then $\mathcal{F}_{mn} = 0$ and (2.15) implies that $\mathcal{S}$ is an HKT manifold [28].

There are no additional conditions arising from the tensorini KSE. The hyperini KSE implies in addition that

$$-V_1^{a1} + V_2^{a2} = 0 , \quad V_2^{a1} + V_1^{a2} = 0 . \quad (2.17)$$

We shall return on all the above conditions imposed by the KSEs after imposing the restrictions on the fields implied by the field equations of the theory and the compactness of $\mathcal{S}$.

3 Horizons with $h \neq 0$

There are two classes of horizons to consider depending on whether or not h vanishes. First, we shall consider the case that $h \neq 0$.

3.1 Holonomy reduction

If $h \neq 0$, we shall demonstrate that, as in the heterotic case, the number of supersymmetries preserved by the near horizon geometries is always even. For this we shall use the results we have obtained from the KSEs for horizons preserving one supersymmetry and the field equations of the theory. The methodology we shall follow to prove this is to compute $\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2$ and apply the maximum principle utilizing the compactness of $\mathcal{S}$.

The field equations of 6-dimensional supergravity in the absence of vector multiplets are

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \varsigma_{rs} G_{\mu}^{r\alpha\beta} G_{\nu\alpha\beta}^s + \partial_{\mu} v^x \partial_{\nu} v_x - 2g_{IJ} \partial_{\mu} \phi^I \partial_{\nu} \phi^J &= 0 , \\ \nabla_{\lambda} (\varsigma_{rs} G^{s\lambda\mu\nu}) &= 0 , \\ \nabla^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} v^x + \frac{1}{6} v_x G^{s\mu\nu\rho} G_{\mu\nu\rho}^s &= 0 , \\ D_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi^I &= 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where in the last equation it is understood that the Levi-Civita connections of both the spacetime and the hyper-multiplets Quaternionic Kähler manifold metrics have been used to covariantize the expression.

First one finds that

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2 = 2\tilde{\nabla}^i h^j \tilde{\nabla}_i h_j + 2\tilde{\nabla}^i (dh)_{ij} h^j + 2\tilde{R}_{ij} h^i h^j + 2h^j \tilde{\nabla}_j \tilde{\nabla}_i h^i , \quad (3.2)$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to $ds^2(\mathcal{S}) = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{e}^i \mathbf{e}^j$ and $\tilde{R}$ is the associated Ricci tensor. The proof of this is given in [17]. To proceed, we shall utilize the field equations to rearrange the above expression in such a way that we can apply the maximum principle. Using the Einstein equation and

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} - \tilde{\nabla}_{(i} h_{j)} + \frac{1}{2} h_i h_j , \quad (3.3)$$

one finds that

$$2\tilde{R}_{ij} h^i h^j = -h^2 \partial_k v_x \partial^k v^x + 4\partial_i \phi^I \partial_j \phi^J g_{IJ} h^i h^j - h^i \tilde{\nabla}_i h^2 . \quad (3.4)$$

The $\mu\nu = +-$ component of the field equation $\nabla_{\lambda} (\varsigma_{rs} G^{s\lambda\mu\nu})$ together with $H^{i+-} = -h^i$ and $H^{\underline{M}i+-} = T^{\underline{M}}$ give

$$\partial_i v_x h^i + v_x \tilde{\nabla}_i h^i + \tilde{\nabla}_i \partial^i v_x = 0 . \quad (3.5)$$

Acting on the above expression with v^x , we find

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i h^i + v^x \tilde{\nabla}_i \partial^i v_x = 0 , \quad (3.6)$$

where we have used $v_x v^x = 1$.

The field equation of the scalars of the tensor multiplet gives

$$v_x \tilde{\nabla}_i \partial^i v^x = 0 , \quad (3.7)$$

which when combined with (3.6) implies that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i h^i = 0 . \quad (3.8)$$

In addition (3.7) and $v_{\underline{x}} v^{\underline{x}} = 1$ give

$$\partial_k v_{\underline{x}} \partial^k v^{\underline{x}} = 0 . \quad (3.9)$$

Thus substituting (3.4) into (3.2) and using (3.8) and (3.9), we find that

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2 + h^i \tilde{\nabla}_i h^2 = 2\tilde{\nabla}^i h^j \tilde{\nabla}_i h_j + 2\tilde{\nabla}^i (dh)_{ij} h^j + 4\partial_i \phi^{\underline{I}} \partial_j \phi^{\underline{J}} g_{\underline{IJ}} h^i h^j . \quad (3.10)$$

This expression is close to the one required for the maximum principle to apply. It remains to determine dh . For this, consider the jk -component of the 3-form field equation to find

$$\nabla^i (v_{\underline{x}} H_{ijk} + x_{\underline{x}}^{\underline{M}} H_{ijk}^{\underline{M}}) = \epsilon_{ijkl} \partial^i v_{\underline{x}} h^l + v_{\underline{x}} \epsilon_{ijkl} \nabla^i h^l = 0 , \quad (3.11)$$

which implies that

$$dh = 0 , \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting this into (3.10), we get

$$\tilde{\nabla}^2 h^2 + h^i \tilde{\nabla}_i h^2 = 2\tilde{\nabla}^i h^j \tilde{\nabla}_i h_j + 4\partial_i \phi^{\underline{I}} \partial_j \phi^{\underline{J}} g_{\underline{IJ}} h^i h^j . \quad (3.13)$$

Applying now the maximum principle using the compactness of $\mathcal{S}$, we find that h^2 is constant and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\nabla}_i h_j &= 0 , \\ h^i \partial_i \phi^{\underline{I}} &= 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

To establish the latter equation, we have used that the metric of the hyper-multiplets Quaternionic Kähler manifold is positive definite. Thus h is a parallel 1-form on $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection and the scalars of the hyper-multiplets are invariant under the action of h . Note also that $\hat{\tilde{\nabla}} h = 0$ as $i_h \tilde{H} = 0$.

The existence of a parallel 1-form on the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ with respect to the Levi-Civita connection is a strong restriction. First it implies that the holonomy of $\tilde{\nabla}$ is contained in $SO(3) \subset SO(4)$,

$$\text{hol}(\tilde{\nabla}) \subseteq SO(3) . \quad (3.15)$$

Moreover $\mathcal{S}$ metrically (locally) splits into a product $S^1 \times \Sigma^3$, where Σ^3 is a 3-dimensional manifold. In turn, as we shall see, the near horizon geometry is locally a product $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$. More elegantly the near horizon geometry admits a supersymmetry enhancement from one supersymmetry to two.

3.2 Supersymmetry enhancement

To demonstrate supersymmetry enhancement for the backgrounds with $h \neq 0$, let us re-investigate the KSEs for the fields given in (2.10). It is straightforward to see by substituting (2.10) into the KSEs and following the calculation in appendix A that the general form of a Killing spinor is

$$\epsilon = \eta_+ - \frac{u}{2} h_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_+ \eta_- + \eta_- \quad (3.16)$$

where $\eta_{\pm}$ depend only on the coordinates of $\mathcal{S}$. In addition the gravitino KSE requires that

$$\hat{\nabla}_i \epsilon + \mathcal{C}_i^{r'} \rho_{r'} \epsilon = 0 , \quad (3.17)$$

the tensorini KSE implies that

$$(1 \pm \frac{1}{2}) T_i^{\underline{M}} \Gamma^i \epsilon_{\pm} - \frac{1}{12} H_{ijk}^{\underline{M}} \Gamma^{ijk} \epsilon_{\pm} = 0 , \quad (3.18)$$

and the hyperini KSE gives

$$i \Gamma^i \epsilon_{\pm \underline{A}} V_i^{a \underline{A}} = 0 . \quad (3.19)$$

Next we shall show that both

$$\epsilon_1 = 1 + e_{1234} , \quad \epsilon_2 = \Gamma_- h_i \Gamma^i (1 + e_{1234}) - u k^2 (1 + e_{1234}) , \quad (3.20)$$

are Killing spinors, where we have set $k^2 = h^2$ for the constant length of h . Observe that the second Killing spinor is constructed by setting $\eta_+ = 0$ and $\eta_- = \Gamma_- h_i \Gamma^i (1 + e_{1234})$.

We have already solved the KSEs for ϵ_1 . Next observe that ϵ_2 solves the gravitino KSE as the Clifford algebra operation $h_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_-$ commutes with the supercovariant derivative in (3.17) as a consequence of the reduction of holonomy demonstrated in the previous section. In addition, the same Clifford operation commutes with the hyperini KSE as a result of the second eqn in (3.14) and (3.19).

It remains to show that ϵ_2 solves the tensorini KSE as well. This is a consequence of (3.9). For this observe that the metric induced on $SO(n_T, 1)/SO(n_T)$ by the algebraic equation $\eta_{rs} v^r v^s = 1$ is the standard hyperbolic metric. So it has Euclidean signature. As a result,

$$\partial_i v^x = 0 . \quad (3.21)$$

Thus, we conclude that the scalar fields are constant and the 3-form field strengths of the tensorini multiplet vanish. This agrees with the classification results of [24] for solutions of the KSEs of 6-dimensional supergravity preserving at least two supersymmetries whose Killing spinors have compact isotropy group. Some of the results of this section are tabulated in table 1.

$\text{Iso}(\eta_+)$	$\text{hol}(\tilde{\mathcal{D}})$	N	η_+
$Sp(1) \cdot Sp(1) \ltimes \mathbb{H}$	$Sp(1)$	2	$1 + e_{1234}$
$U(1) \cdot Sp(1) \ltimes \mathbb{H}$	$U(1)$	4	$1 + e_{1234}, i(1 - e_{1234})$
$Sp(1) \ltimes \mathbb{H}^4$	$\{1\}$	8	$1 + e_{1234}, i(1 - e_{1234}), e_{12} - e_{34}, i(e_{12} + e_{34})$

Table 1. Some of the geometric data used to solving the gravitino KSE are described. In the first column, we give the isotropy groups, $\text{Iso}(\eta_+)$, of $\{\eta_+\}$ spinors in $Spin(5, 1) \cdot Sp(1)$. In the second column we state the holonomy of the supercovariant connection $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$ of the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ in each case. The holonomy of $\hat{\tilde{\nabla}}$ is identical to that of $\hat{\nabla}$. In the third column, we present the number of $\mathcal{D}$ -parallel spinors and in the last column we give representatives of the $\{\eta_+\}$ spinors.

3.3 Geometry

To investigate the geometry of spacetime, one can compute the form bi-linears associated with the Killing spinors (3.20). In particular, one finds that the spacetime admits 3 $\hat{\nabla}$ -parallel 1-forms given by

$$\lambda^- = \mathbf{e}^- , \quad \lambda^+ = \mathbf{e}^+ - \frac{1}{2}k^2 u^2 \mathbf{e}^- - uh , \quad \lambda^1 = k^{-1}(h + k^2 u \mathbf{e}^-) . \quad (3.22)$$

Moreover, the Lie algebra of the associated vector fields closes in $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$. To verify this, see [17]. Since h is $\hat{\nabla}$ -parallel, the spacetime is locally metrically a product $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \Sigma^3$, ie

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= ds^2(SL(2, \mathbb{R})) + ds^2(\Sigma^3) , \\ H &= d\text{vol}(SL(2, \mathbb{R})) + d\text{vol}(\Sigma^3) , \\ \phi^I &= \phi^I(z) , \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where the scalars of the hyper-multiplet depend only on the coordinates z of Σ^3 .

In addition to the 1-forms given in (3.22), the spacetime admits 3 more twisted 1-forms bilinears, see [24]. For the Killing spinors (3.20), these are given by

$$e^{r'} = k^{-1} h_j (J^{r'})^j_i \mathbf{e}^i , \quad (3.24)$$

where $J^{r'}$ is a quaternionic structure on $\mathcal{S}$ associated with the Quaternionic-Hermitian 2-forms (2.14). As it has been already mentioned, these Quaternionic-Hermitian 2-forms are constructed from twisted spinor bi-linears and so rotate to each other under patching conditions. Observe that the frame $e^{r'}$ is orthogonal to h and the rotation between the $\mathbf{e}^i$ and $(h, e^{r'})$ is in $SO(4)$. Therefore $(k^{-1}h, e^{r'})$ is another frame on $\mathcal{S}$ with $e^{r'}$ adapted to Σ^3 . Thus $ds^2(\mathcal{S}) = k^{-2}h^2 + ds^2(\Sigma^3)$ with $ds^2(\Sigma^3) = \delta_{r's'} e^{r'} e^{s'}$.

The metric on Σ^3 is restricted by the Einstein equation (3.1) and the integrability condition (2.15). The former gives

$$R_{r's'}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}k^2 \delta_{r's'} - 2\partial_{r'} \phi^I \partial_{s'} \phi^J g_{IJ} = 0 , \quad (3.25)$$

where r', s' are indices of Σ^3 and $R^{(3)}$ is the Ricci tensor of Σ^3 . This is an equation which determines the metric on Σ^3 in terms of h and the hyper-multiplet scalars ϕ . The integrability condition (2.15) does not give an independent condition on the metric of Σ^3 .

It remains to find the restriction imposed by supersymmetry on the scalars ϕ of the hyper-multiplet. As we have shown these depend only on the coordinates of Σ^3 . A direct observation reveals that after an appropriate identification of the frame directions of $\mathcal{S}$ with the Pauli matrices $\sigma_{s'}$, the supersymmetry conditions can be rewritten as

$$\partial_{r'} \phi^{\underline{I}} = -\epsilon_{r'}{}^{s't'} (I_{s'})^{\underline{I}}{}_{\underline{J}} \partial_{t'} \phi^{\underline{J}} , \quad (3.26)$$

where we have used that $(I_{s'})^{\underline{Aa}}{}_{\underline{Bb}} = -i \sigma_{s'}^{\underline{A}}{}_{\underline{B}} \delta^{\underline{a}}{}_{\underline{b}}$. This is a rather natural condition constraining the maps ϕ from Σ^3 into $\mathcal{Q}$. Constant maps are solutions.

The geometry on Σ^3 is determined by (3.25) and depends on the solutions of (3.26). For the constant map solutions of (3.26), Σ^3 is locally isometric to S^3 equipped with the round metric, and so the near horizon geometry is $AdS_3 \times S^3$.

Next suppose the existence of non-trivial solutions for the equation (3.26), and upon substitution the existence of solutions for (3.25). An priori one expects that the geometry on Σ^3 depends on the choice of quaternionic Kähler manifold $\mathcal{Q}$ for the hyper-multiplets and the choice of a solution of (3.26). However, the differential structure on Σ^3 is independent of these choices. To show this first observe that the Ricci tensor $R^{(3)}$ is strictly positive. This turns out to be sufficient to determine the topology on Σ^3 . To see this note that in 3 dimensions the Ricci tensor determines the curvature of a manifold. Next, the strict positivity of the Ricci tensor implies that the (reduced) holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection of Σ^3 is $SO(3)$. Then a result of Gallot and Meyer, see [25], implies that Σ^3 is a homology 3-sphere. A brief proof of this is as follows. Since the holonomy of the Levi-Civita connection of Σ^3 is $SO(3)$, the only parallel forms are the constant real maps and the volume form of the manifold. On the other hand, the positivity of the Riemann curvature tensor implies that all harmonic forms are parallel and the fundamental group is finite. Thus de Rham cohomology of Σ^3 coincides with that of S^3 and so Σ^3 is a homology 3-sphere. In addition since the fundamental group is finite, the universal cover of Σ^3 is compact and so by the Poincaré conjecture [26] homeomorphic, and so diffeomorphic⁵, to the 3-sphere. The above result implies that in the simply connected case and for non-constant solutions to (3.26), the geometry of the round sphere is deformed in such a way that the differential, and so topological, structure of S^3 is maintained.

The existence of non-trivial solutions to (3.26) is an open problem which may depend on the choice of quaternionic Kähler manifold $\mathcal{Q}$ of the hypermultiplets. However, as we shall see horizons that preserve 8 supersymmetries require ϕ to be constant. This is compatible with the assertion made in the attractor mechanism, see [27] for the 6-dimensional supergravity case, that all the scalars take constant values at the horizon. However, it is worth noting that the field and KSEs do not a priori imply that the scalars are constant for near horizon geometries which preserve a small number of supersymmetries. For this some further investigation is required which may be case dependent.

⁵There is a unique differential structure on the topological 3-sphere.

4 N=4 and N=8 horizons

4.1 N=4 horizons

We have shown that if $h \neq 0$, the near horizon geometries preserve 2, 4 or 8 supersymmetries. We have already investigated the case with 2 supersymmetries. The two additional Killing spinors of horizons with 4 supersymmetries can be chosen as

$$\epsilon^3 = i(1 - e_{1234}) , \quad \epsilon^4 = -ik^2 u(1 - e_{1234}) + ih_i \Gamma^{+i}(1 - e_{1234}) . \quad (4.1)$$

Observe that $\epsilon^3 = \rho^1 \epsilon^1$ and $\epsilon^4 = \rho^1 \epsilon^2$. Thus the KSEs must commute with ρ^1 . As a result ω_1 is a well-defined Hermitian form on $\mathcal{S}$. The 1-form $\hat{\nabla}$ -parallel spinor bilinears are

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^- &= \mathbf{e}^- , \quad \lambda^+ = \mathbf{e}^+ - \frac{1}{2}k^2 u^2 \mathbf{e}^- - uh , \quad \lambda^1 = k^{-1}(h + k^2 u \mathbf{e}^-) , \\ \lambda^4 &= e^1 , \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where the first 3 bilinears are those of horizons with two supersymmetries and e^1 is given in (3.24). The associated vector fields are Killing and their Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$.

The spacetime is locally metrically a product $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$, as for horizons preserving 2 supersymmetries. In addition in this case, Σ^3 is a S^1 fibration over a 2-dimensional manifold Σ^2 . The fibre direction is spanned by $\lambda^4 = e^1$. Thus

$$ds^2(\Sigma^3) = (e^1)^2 + ds^2(\Sigma^2) , \quad ds^2(\mathcal{S}) = k^{-2}h^2 + (e^1)^2 + ds^2(\Sigma^2) . \quad (4.3)$$

Observe that $de^1 \neq 0$ as $e^1 \wedge de^1$ is proportional to $\tilde{H} = d\text{vol}(\Sigma^3)$, and so the fibration is twisted.

It remains to specify the topology of Σ^2 . For this first observe that from the results of [24], the hyper-multiplet scalars depend only on the coordinates of Σ^2 . To specify the topology of Σ^2 , we compute the Ricci tensor $R^{(2)}$ of Σ^2 using the Einstein equation and in particular (3.25) to find

$$R_{r's'}^{(2)} - \frac{1}{2}de_{t'u'}^1(de^1)^{t'u'}\delta_{r's'} - \frac{1}{2}k^2\delta_{r's'} - 2\partial_{r'}\phi^I\partial_{s'}\phi^J g_{IJ} = 0 , \quad (4.4)$$

where now r', s', t', u' are indices in Σ^2 . It is clear that the Ricci tensor of Σ^2 is strictly positive and so Σ^2 is topologically a sphere irrespective of the properties of the maps ϕ .

We have already mentioned that the hyper-multiplet scalars ϕ depend only on the coordinates of Σ^2 as a consequence of the hyperini KSE. Thus they are maps from Σ^2 into the Quaternionic Kähler manifold of the hyper-multiplets. In addition the hyperini KSE implies that

$$V_2^{a1} = 0 , \quad V_2^{a2} = 0 , \quad (4.5)$$

which is equivalent to (3.26) after additionally requiring that the scalars do not depend on the fibre direction λ^4 . These conditions imply that ϕ are pseudo-holomorphic maps from Σ^2 into the Quaternionic Kähler manifold of the hyper-multiplets. The analysis we have made for the existence of non-constant solutions to (3.26) applies to (4.5) as well.

4.2 N=8 horizons

As in the cases with 2 and 4 supersymmetries, one can show that the spacetime is locally $AdS_3 \times \Sigma^3$. In addition for horizons with 8 supersymmetries, the hyperini KSE implies that the scalars of the hyper-multiplet are constant [24]. In such case, the Einstein equation implies that Σ^3 is locally isometric to S^3 . Thus the only near horizon geometry preserving 8 supersymmetries with $h \neq 0$ is $AdS_3 \times S^3$.

5 Horizons with $h = 0$

5.1 Geometry of $N = 1$ horizons

Let us now turn to horizons with $h = 0$. Clearly in such a case, the 3-form field strength of the gravitational multiplet vanishes $H = 0$, and the near horizon geometry is a product $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$. It remains to determine the geometry of $\mathcal{S}$.

For this first observe that the tensor multiplet scalars are constant and the associated 3-form field strengths vanish. The proof for this is similar to that given for the horizons with $h \neq 0$. In particular, it utilizes the field equations of the tensor multiplet scalars as described in the equations (3.6) and (3.7), with $h = 0$, and the argument developed around (3.21).

The Einstein equation expresses the Ricci tensor $\tilde{R}$ of $\mathcal{S}$ in terms of the hypermultiplet scalars as

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = 2g_{IJ}\partial_i\phi^I\partial_j\phi^J. \quad (5.1)$$

The latter are also restricted by the Killing spinor equations as in (2.17). Observe that after an appropriate identification of frame directions of $\mathcal{S}$ with the matrices $(\tau^i) = (1_{2 \times 2}, i\sigma^{r'})$, (2.17) can be written as

$$(\tau^i)^A_{\underline{B}}\partial_i\phi^{\underline{I}}E_{\underline{I}}^{aB} = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

or equivalently in terms of the quaternionic structure $I_{r'}$ of $\mathcal{Q}$ as

$$(K_i)^{\underline{L}}_{\underline{J}}\partial^i\phi^{\underline{J}} = 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where $(K_i) = (1_{4n_H \times 4n_H}, -I_{r'})$ and $1_{4n_H \times 4n_H}$ is the identity tensor.

If the hypermultiplet scalars are constant, then the rhs of (5.1) vanishes and $\mathcal{S}$ is a hyper-Kähler manifold. So it is locally isometric to either K_3 or T^4 . As we shall see such horizons exhibit supersymmetry enhancement to at least $N = 4$.

The existence of horizons with strictly $N = 1$ supersymmetry depends on the existence of non-trivial solutions for (5.3) such that the rhs of (5.1) does not vanish. This in turn may depend on the choice of the 4-manifold $\mathcal{S}$ and that of the quaternionic Kähler manifold $\mathcal{Q}$. As a result, this is a rather involved question, and possibly model dependent, which we shall not explore further here.

N	$\text{hol}(\bar{\nabla})$	Geometry of $\mathcal{S}$
1	$Sp(1) \cdot Sp(1)$	Riemann
2	$U(2)$	Kähler
4	$Sp(1)$	hyper-Kähler

Table 2. Some geometric data of the horizon geometries with $h = 0$ are described. In the first column, we give the number of supersymmetries preserved. In the second column, we present the holonomy groups of the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathcal{S}$, and in the third we give the geometry of $\mathcal{S}$.

5.2 Geometry of $N = 2$ and $N = 4$ horizons

The second Killing spinor of $N = 2$ horizons with $h = 0$ can be chosen as

$$\epsilon_2 = i(1 - e_{1234}) . \quad (5.4)$$

In such case, and in agreement with the general classification results of [24], $\mathcal{S}$ is a Kähler manifold. In addition, the hypermultiplet scalars are holomorphic maps from $\mathcal{S}$ into the hypermultiplets Quaternionic Kähler manifold. Again, the existence of such horizons with strictly 2 supersymmetries depends on the existence of such non-trivial holomorphic maps

The two remaining Killing spinors of $N = 4$ horizons with $h = 0$ can be chosen as

$$\epsilon_3 = e_{12} - e_{34} , \quad \epsilon_4 = i(e_{12} + e_{34}) . \quad (5.5)$$

The general classification results of [24] imply that the hypermultiplet scalars are constant as a consequence of the hyperini KSEs. Therefore $\mathcal{S}$ is hyper-Kähler and so locally isometric to either K_3 or T^4 . In the latter case, there is supersymmetry enhancement to $N = 8$.

So far we have considered Killing spinors annihilated by the lightcone projection operation Γ_+ . As a result, they have a non-compact isotropy group in $Spin(5, 1) \cdot Sp(1)$. We could demand that the near horizon geometries $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times \mathcal{S}$ admit Killing spinors with compact isotropy groups. In such case, the only solution is $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^4$ which preserves 8 supersymmetries. Some of the results of this section are tabulated in table 2.

Acknowledgments: We thank Jan Gutowski and Fabio Riccioni for many helpful discussions. MA is supported by the STFC studentship grant ST/F00768/1. GP is partially supported by the EPSRC grant EP/F069774/1 and the STFC rolling grant ST/G000/395/1.

Appendix A Supersymmetric horizons

A.1 Lightcone integrability of KSEs

The gravitino KSE is

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu \epsilon = 0 , \quad (A.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu = \hat{\nabla}_\mu + \mathcal{C}_\mu^{r'} \rho_{r'} = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4} \Omega_{\mu, \nu \rho} \Gamma^{\nu \rho} - \frac{1}{8} H_{\mu \nu \rho} \Gamma^{\nu \rho} + \mathcal{C}_\mu^{r'} \rho_{r'} . \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We also identify the stationary Killing vector field of the black hole ∂_u with the Killing vector constructed as Killing spinor bi-linear. Since the latter is null [24], $\Delta = 0$ in (2.7). As a result, the non-vanishing components of the frame connection associated with the Levi-Civita connection of the spacetime are

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{+,-i} &= -\frac{1}{2} h_i , & \Omega_{+,ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} r (dh)_{ij} , & \Omega_{-,+i} &= -\frac{1}{2} h_i , \\ \Omega_{i,+} &= \frac{1}{2} h_i , & \Omega_{i,+j} &= -\frac{1}{2} r (dh)_{ij} , & \Omega_{i,jk} &= \tilde{\Omega}_{i,jk} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

For later use, the anti-self duality of H implies

$$\begin{aligned} H_{+-i} &= \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{+-ijkl} H^{jkl} , \\ &= \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{+-ijkl} v_{\underline{r}} dW^{ijkl} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

The KSEs can be integrated along the light-cone directions for the fields given in (2.7). For this, decompose the Killing spinor ϵ as

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- , \quad \Gamma_\pm \epsilon_\pm = 0 . \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The $-$ component of the gravitino KSE, (A.1), gives

$$\partial_- \epsilon - \frac{1}{4} (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \epsilon_+ = 0 , \quad (\text{A.6})$$

where we have used the expression for the frame connection stated above, the expression for the fields in (2.7) and that $\mathcal{C}_-^{r'} = 0$ as the scalars do not depend on (u, r) in the near horizon limit. We have also set $N = v_{\underline{r}} N^{\underline{r}}$ and $S = v_{\underline{r}} S^{\underline{r}}$. Noting that $\partial_- = \partial_r$ and $\partial_+ = \partial_u$ and upon integration, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_+ &= \phi_+ , \\ \epsilon_- &= \phi_- + \frac{1}{4} r (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \phi_+ , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where $\phi_\pm$ are independent of r .

Similarly, the $+$ component of the gravitino KSE gives

$$\partial_+ \epsilon + \frac{1}{4} (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_+ \epsilon_- - \frac{1}{8} r (h \wedge N - v_{\underline{r}} dN^{\underline{r}} - (S-1)dh)_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \epsilon = 0 \quad (\text{A.8})$$

Substituting in (A.7) into the $+$ component of the gravitino KSE, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_+ \left(\phi + \frac{1}{4} r (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \phi_+ \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_+ \left(\phi_- + \frac{1}{4} r (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \phi_+ \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{8} r (h \wedge N - v_{\underline{r}} dN^{\underline{r}} - (S-1)dh)_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \left(\phi + \frac{1}{4} r (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \phi_+ \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 0 . \quad (\text{A.9})$$

This equation is valid in every order in r . As a result $\mathcal{O}(r^0)$ order term gives

$$\partial_+ \phi + \frac{1}{4}(v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_+ \phi_- = 0 , \quad (\text{A.10})$$

which can be solved to find

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_+ &= \eta_+ - \frac{1}{4}u(v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_+ \eta_- , \\ \phi_- &= \eta_- , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where $\eta_{\pm}$ is independent of r and u . As a result, the components $\epsilon_{\pm}$ of the Killing spinor can be written in terms of $\eta_{\pm}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_+ &= \eta_+ - \frac{1}{4}u(v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_+ \eta_- , \\ \epsilon_- &= \eta_- + \frac{1}{4}r(v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \eta_+ \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8}ur(v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_j \Gamma^i \Gamma^j \eta_- . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

The remaining conditions implied by (A.9) are algebraic which will be considerably simplified after the analysis of the next section. These are

$$\alpha_i \beta_j \Gamma^i \Gamma^j \eta_+ + \gamma_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \eta_+ = 0 , \quad (\text{A.13})$$

$$\gamma_{ij} \beta_k \Gamma^{ij} \Gamma^k \eta_+ = 0 , \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$\beta_i \alpha_j \Gamma^i \Gamma^j \eta_- - \gamma_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \eta_- = 0 , \quad (\text{A.15})$$

$$\alpha_i \beta_j \alpha_k \Gamma^i \Gamma^j \Gamma^k \eta_- + \gamma_{ij} \alpha_k \Gamma^{ij} \Gamma^k \eta_- = 0 , \quad (\text{A.16})$$

$$\gamma_{ij} \beta_k \alpha_l \Gamma^{ij} \Gamma^k \Gamma^l \eta_- = 0 , \quad (\text{A.17})$$

where

$$\alpha_i = (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S-1)h)_i , \quad (\text{A.18})$$

$$\beta_i = (v_{\underline{r}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i , \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\gamma_{ij} = (h \wedge N - v_{\underline{r}} dN^{\underline{r}} - (S-1)dh)_{ij} . \quad (\text{A.20})$$

These are in fact the same constraints as those found for the heterotic horizons in [17].

A.2 Stationary and spinor bi-linear vector fields

Additional restrictions on $\eta_{\pm}$ can be derived for horizons preserving one supersymmetry arising from the identification of stationary black hole Killing vector field ∂_u with that constructed as a Killing spinor bi-linear. This identification implies that the components of the 1-form associated with the latter are

$$X_+ = 0 , \quad X_- = 1 , \quad X_i = 0 . \quad (\text{A.21})$$

The $\eta_{\pm}$ spinors can be expanded in basis of symplectic Majorana-Weyl spinors as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_+ &= a_1(1 + e_{1234}) + a_2i(1 - e_{1234}) + a_3(e_{12} - e_{34}) + a_4i(e_{12} + e_{34}) , \\ \eta_- &= b_1(e_{15} + e_{2345}) + b_2i(e_{15} - e_{2345}) + b_3(e_{25} - e_{1345}) + b_4i(e_{15} + e_{2345}) ,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.22})$$

where all components depend on the coordinates y of $\mathcal{S}$. The field data (2.7) are covariant under local $Spin(4) \cdot Sp(1)$ gauge transformations of $\mathcal{S}$. So these can be used to choose $\eta_{\pm}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_+ &= a(y)(1 + e_{1234}) , \\ \eta_- &= b(y)(e_{15} + e_{2345}) .\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.23})$$

The next step is to consider the spinor bilinear

$$Y_A e^A = < B\epsilon^*, \Gamma_A \epsilon > e^A , \quad (\text{A.24})$$

where $B = \Gamma_{06789}$. In order to satisfy the relations in (A.21), we require the $+$ component for the spinor bilinear to vanish. This in particular means that $Y_+|_{r=0} = 0$, and as a consequence we find

$$\eta_- = 0 . \quad (\text{A.25})$$

Therefore we can write the spinor in (A.12) as

$$\epsilon = \eta_+ + \frac{1}{4}r(v_{\underline{r}}dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \eta_+ \quad (\text{A.26})$$

Since the bilinear components on the horizon are independent of r , the next requirement we impose is for the $\mathcal{O}(r)$ term in the bilinear to vanish. This means

$$< B(1 + e_{1234}), \Gamma_A(v_{\underline{r}}dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h)_i \Gamma^i \Gamma_- \eta_+ > = 0 , \quad (\text{A.27})$$

from which we obtain the condition

$$v_{\underline{r}}dS^{\underline{r}} - N - (S+1)h = 0 . \quad (\text{A.28})$$

This simplifies the Killing spinor as

$$\epsilon = \eta_+ = a(x)(1 + e_{1234}) . \quad (\text{A.29})$$

Finally calculating Y_- and comparing this to X_- , we find

$$-2\sqrt{2}a^2 = 1 , \quad (\text{A.30})$$

i.e. a is a constant, which without loss of generality can be set to 1. This means

$$\epsilon = 1 + e_{1234} . \quad (\text{A.31})$$

This choice of a Killing spinor for the horizon geometries is the same as that for general solutions of the KSEs of 6-dimensional supergravity preserving one supersymmetry. This will be used to simplify the analysis of near horizon geometries.

A.2.1 Further analysis of the gravitino KSE

Revisiting the $+$ component of the gravitino KSE for $\epsilon = 1 + e_{1234}$, one finds that

$$(h \wedge N - v_{\underline{r}} dN^{\underline{r}} - (S - 1)dh)_{ij} \Gamma^{ij} \eta_+ = 0 . \quad (\text{A.32})$$

As a consequence all algebraic conditions (A.13-A.17) are also satisfied.

Next consider the i -component of the gravitino KSE. After separating the various orders in r , one finds that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i \eta_+ = \left(\partial_i + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{\Omega}_{i,jk} \Gamma^{jk} - \frac{1}{8} v_{\underline{r}} (dW^{\underline{r}})_{ijk} \Gamma^{jk} + \mathcal{C}_i^{r'} \rho_{r'} \right) \eta_+ = 0 . \quad (\text{A.33})$$

and

$$(h \wedge N - v_{\underline{r}} dN^{\underline{r}} - (S + 1)dh)_{ij} \Gamma^j \eta_+ = 0 . \quad (\text{A.34})$$

Using $\eta_+ = 1 + e_{1234}$ in the last equation, we find that

$$h \wedge N - v_{\underline{r}} dN^{\underline{r}} - (S + 1)dh = 0 . \quad (\text{A.35})$$

As a result, the 3-form H simplifies as

$$H = \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \wedge h + r \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge dh + v_{\underline{r}} dW^{\underline{r}} . \quad (\text{A.36})$$

A.3 Tensorini KSEs

Now consider the tensorini KSEs

$$\left(T_{\underline{\mu}}^{\underline{M}} \Gamma^{\underline{\mu}} - \frac{1}{12} H_{\underline{\mu}\nu\rho}^{\underline{M}} \Gamma^{\underline{\mu}\nu\rho} \right) \epsilon = 0 , \quad (\text{A.37})$$

where $\epsilon = \eta_+ = 1 + e_{1234}$. This has been solved in [24] where we have found

$$\begin{aligned} T_+^{\underline{M}} &= 0, & H_{+\alpha}^{\underline{M}}{}^{\alpha} &= H_{+\alpha\beta}^{\underline{M}} = 0, \\ T_{\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{M}} - \frac{1}{2} H_{-+\underline{\alpha}}^{\underline{M}} - \frac{1}{2} H_{\underline{\alpha}\beta}^{\underline{M}}{}^{\beta} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.38})$$

These together with the self-duality of $H^{\underline{M}}$ imply that

$$H_{+ij}^{\underline{M}} = 0 , \quad T_i^{\underline{M}} = H_{-+i}^{\underline{M}} . \quad (\text{A.39})$$

Comparing this with the expression of $H^{\underline{M}}$ in (2.7), we get the expression for $H^{\underline{M}}$ in (2.10). In particular, we have

$$x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} dS^{\underline{r}} - N^{\underline{M}} - S^{\underline{M}} h = -T^{\underline{M}} , \quad h \wedge N^{\underline{M}} - x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} dN^{\underline{r}} - S^{\underline{M}} dh = 0 , \quad (\text{A.40})$$

where $N^{\underline{M}} = x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} N^{\underline{r}}$ and $S^{\underline{M}} = x_{\underline{r}}^{\underline{M}} S^{\underline{r}}$.

A.4 Hyperini KSEs

A direct application of the results in [24] and using that the scalars of the hyper-multiplet do not depend on the coordinates (u, r) reveal that the hyperini KSEs imply that

$$-V_1^{a1} + V_2^{a2} = 0, \quad V_2^{a1} + V_1^{a2} = 0, \quad (\text{A.41})$$

for $\epsilon = 1 + e_{1234}$.

References

- [1] W. Israel, “Event Horizons In Static Vacuum Space-Times,” *Phys. Rev.* **164** (1967) 1776.
- [2] B. Carter, “Axisymmetric Black Hole Has Only Two Degrees of Freedom,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **26** (1971) 331.
- [3] S. W. Hawking, “Black holes in general relativity,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **25** (1972) 152.
- [4] D. C. Robinson, “Uniqueness of the Kerr black hole,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34** (1975) 905.
- [5] W. Israel, “Event Horizons in Static, Electrovac Space-Times,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **8** (1968) 245.
- [6] P. O. Mazur, “Proof of Uniqueness of the Kerr-Newman Black Hole Solution,” *J. Phys. A* **15** (1982) 3173.
- [7] D. Robinson, “Four decades of black hole uniqueness theorems,” appeared in *The Kerr spacetime: Rotating black holes in General Relativity*, eds D. L. Wiltshire, M. Visser and S. M. Scott, pp 115-143, CUP 2009.
- [8] J. C. Breckenridge, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet and C. Vafa, “D-branes and spinning black holes”, *Phys. Lett.* **B391** (1997) 93; hep-th/9602065.
- [9] H. S. Reall, “Higher dimensional black holes and supersymmetry”, *Phys. Rev.* **D68** (2003) 024024; hep-th/0211290.
- [10] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos and H. S. Reall, “A Supersymmetric black ring”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93** (2004) 211302; hep-th/0407065.
- [11] G. W. Gibbons, D. Ida and T. Shiromizu, “Uniqueness and non-uniqueness of static black holes in higher dimensions”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89** (2002) 041101; hep-th/0206049.
- [12] M. Rogatko, “Uniqueness theorem of static degenerate and non-degenerate charged black holes in higher dimensions”, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 084025; hep-th/0302091; “Classification of static charged black holes in higher dimensions,” *Phys. Rev.* **D73** (2006), 124027; hep-th/0606116.

- [13] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, “Near-horizon symmetries of extremal black holes,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) 4169; arXiv:0705.4214 [hep-th].
- [14] R. Emparan, T. Harmark, V. Niarchos and N. Obers, “World-Volume Effective Theory for Higher-Dimensional Black Holes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 191301; arXiv:0902.0427 [hep-th]; “Essentials of Blackfold Dynamics,” arXiv:0910.1601 [hep-th].
- [15] J. B. Gutowski, D. Martelli and H. S. Reall, “All supersymmetric solutions of minimal supergravity in six dimensions,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 5049 [arXiv:hep-th/0306235].
- [16] J. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, “Topology of supersymmetric N=1, D=4 supergravity horizons,” *JHEP* **1011** (2010) 114 [arXiv:1006.4369 [hep-th]].
- [17] J. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos, “Heterotic Black Horizons,” *JHEP* **07**, 011 (2010); arXiv:0912.3472 [hep-th].
“Heterotic horizons, Monge-Ampere equation and del Pezzo surfaces,” *JHEP* **10** (2010) 084; arXiv:1003.2864 [hep-th].
- [18] U. Gran, J. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos, “IIB black hole horizons with five-form flux and KT geometry,” *JHEP* **05** (2011) 050; arXiv:1101.1247 [hep-th].
U. Gran, J. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos, “IIB black hole horizons with five-form flux and extended supersymmetry,” arXiv:1104.2908 [hep-th].
- [19] J. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, “Static M-horizons,” arXiv:1106.3085 [hep-th].
- [20] H. Nishino and E. Sezgin, “Matter And Gauge Couplings Of N=2 Supergravity In Six-Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **144** (1984) 187.
“The Complete N=2, D = 6 Supergravity With Matter And Yang-Mills Couplings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **278** (1986) 353.
“New couplings of six-dimensional supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **505** (1997) 497 [arXiv:hep-th/9703075].
- [21] S. Ferrara, F. Riccioni and A. Sagnotti, “Tensor and vector multiplets in six-dimensional supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **519** (1998) 115 [arXiv:hep-th/9711059].
- [22] F. Riccioni, “All couplings of minimal six-dimensional supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **605** (2001) 245 [arXiv:hep-th/0101074].
- [23] H. Friedrich, I. Racz and R. M. Wald, “On the rigidity theorem for space-times with a stationary event horizon or a compact Cauchy horizon,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **204** (1999) 691; gr-qc/9811021.
- [24] M. Akyol and G. Papadopoulos, “Spinorial geometry and Killing spinor equations of 6-D supergravity,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **28** (2011) 105001 [arXiv:1010.2632 [hep-th]].

- [25] P. Petersen, “Riemannian Geometry,” Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer (1998), page 237.
- [26] G. Perelman, “The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications,” [arXiv:math/0211159]; “Ricci flow with surgery on three-manifolds,” [arXiv:math/0303109]; “Finite extinction time for the solutions to the Ricci flow on certain three-manifolds,” [arXiv:math/0307245]
- [27] L. Andrianopoli, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani and M. Trigiante, “Non-BPS Attractors in 5d and 6d Extended Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **795** (2008) 428 [arXiv:0709.3488 [hep-th]].
S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, J. F. Morales and H. Samtleben, “Intersecting Attractors,” Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 065031 [arXiv:0812.0050 [hep-th]].
- [28] P. S. Howe and G. Papadopoulos, “Twistor spaces for HKT manifolds,” Phys. Lett. B **379** (1996) 80 [arXiv:hep-th/9602108].